

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,275	07/02/2003	Rikuro Obara	051319/0035	4068
29619	7590 10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP		GILLAN, RYAN P		
ATTN: JOEL E. LUTZKER 919 THIRD AVENUE		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		3746	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	•
E	_

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHIGHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estembard or time may be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.78(1). In no event, however, may a rapty be timely filled. If NO period for raply is specified above, the maximum standary primor will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regive within the sect or extended period for regive, the particular than a standary primor will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regive within the sect or extended period for regive, the particular than a standary primor will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to regive within the sect or extended period for regive the explaination become abancount of SIX (5) SIX (15 § 133). Are restricted than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any correlation than a standary primor and primor than a standary primor and primor filed. All This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-2g is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are are explicated. 7) Claim(s) is/are are explicated. 10 Image: A standard and a standard primor and a s		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Ryan P. Gillan - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SHOW HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In the period for reply is appealed above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kill (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication). In the period for reply is appealed above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kill (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication). In the period for reply is appealed above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 3 kill (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication). In the period patient from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) statutory and supply and will expire 3 kill (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication). Status This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in coordidition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) Objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) All by Grant or equivalent is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f). a) All by Cartified copies of	Office Action Comment	10/612,275	OBARA ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exhibition for them up be available under the provision of 37 CFI 13(s), in to resent, knower, any rapty be timely field. If NO geniod for reply is specified above, the mainimal distutory period and signly and will expire \$3.00 km ONTES from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to nelly which he set or incided pariod for reply will be care or incided pariod for law (by statute, case the application to be correct abANDONED \$3.05 km 5.13 km. settled pariod reply with the text or enabling date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any care distance. Status 1)★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02_July 2003. 2a)★ This action is FINAL. 2b ★ This action is non-final. 3)★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)★ Claim(s)★ 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)★ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)★ Claim(s)★ 1.20 is/are epidedd. 6)★ Claim(s)★ 1.20 is/are rejected. 7)★ Claim(s)★ 2.20 is/are rejected. 7)★ Claim(s)★ 2.20 is/are rejected. 7)★ Claim(s)★ 2.20 is/are pidedd to. 8)★ Claim(s)★ 2.20 is/are is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)★ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a)★ accepted or b)★ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)★ All by★ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f). a)★ All by★ Cartified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2 ★ Certified copies of the priority documents have b	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of iron may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-130(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If No princed trap is specified bloom, the maximum station, period with a gray and will expire (K) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply whith the set or extended presid for reply will, by station, cause the application to become ARAPOONED (50 U.S. 5, 133). Advisory provided by the Office isotropic manufacture and the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply whith the set or extended presid for reply will, by station, cause the application to become ARAPOONED (50 U.S. 5, 133). After 150 provided by the Office isotropic manufacture and the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply whith the set or extended presid for reply will, by station, cause the application to secone ARAPOONED (50 U.S. 5, 133). - Faiture to reply whith the set or extended presid for reply will, by station, cause the application to secone ARAPOONED (50 U.S. 6, 133). - Faiture to reply within the set or extended president and the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended president and the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended president and the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set of reply and the cause the application to reply reply and the date of the cause of the president of the date of the cause of the president of the date of the								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estansizate of their may be available under the provisions of 37 GF1 1:30g, in no event, however, may a mpty be timely fied after SX (d) MOUTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the standard of the communication of the standard of the st	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Note of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 50 Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
2a This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Note of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 50 Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ly 2003.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
S Claim(s) is/are allowed. S Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Capplication Papers 9								
6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u> </u>							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		,						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<u>·</u>							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s)** D ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) D ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) D ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
(a) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) (b) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. (c) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
(a) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) (b) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. (c) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)								
(a) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) (b) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (c) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	August 1997							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Draftsperson's PTO-948 Draftsperson's PTO-948	_	A) Diplocitore Comes	(DTO 412)					
) 🖾 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P		-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/612,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8-14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh (6,270,325) in view of Carter (3,652,186). Hsieh teaches an apparatus for a fan motor comprising: a base (30), a cylindrically-shaped bearing housing (431) integrally formed from the base, having a hollow interior and a first and a second open end (clearly seen in figure 2); a pair of bearings (41 & 43) set in an interior of the bearing housing; a rotational shaft (13) supported by the pair of bearings; and a retainer cap (31) set through an aperture in the base. A stator (22) fixed to an outer periphery of the bearing housing; a rotor (15) fixed to the rotational shaft and positioned to face the stator; and an impeller fixed (10) to the rotational shaft. A spacer (42), cylindrically shaped, is set in the interior of the bearing housing between the pair of bearings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate at least one oil groove as a means of keeping the bearings lubricated. An end of the rotational shaft towards the base is spherically shaped (clearly seen in figure 2). One bearing, of the pair of bearings, is a shielded ball bearing (41) and the other is a sleeve bearing (43) having a chamfered edge. A magnet of the rotor having a central part offset from a central part of a core of the stator. The stator is

Application/Control Number: 10/612,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 3746

nearer to the base than the magnet, which is fixed to the rotor, and thus creates an attraction of the rotor to the stator, in the direction of the base (clearly seen in figure 2).

- 3. Hsieh fails to teach a shield part integrally formed on the bearing housing at an end opposite the base and which extends in a radial direction towards the rotational shaft. A hub, to which the rotational shaft is attached, has a cylindrical part, which is inserted into an aperture in the shield part, wherein a clearance gap between the cylindrical part and the shield part is in a labyrinthine shape. The interior of the bearing housing is shielded by the shield part and the retainer cap and the pair of bearings are retained in the bearing housing by contact with the shield part and retainer cap.
- 4. Carter teaches a shield part (55. See fig. 4) integrally formed on the bearing housing (49) at an end opposite the base and which extends in a radial direction towards the rotational shaft (43). A hub (42), to which the rotational shaft is attached, has a cylindrical part (clearly seen in figure 4, protruding from the hub, along the shaft), which is inserted into an aperture in the shield part (clearly seen in figure 4).
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hsieh to incorporate the shield portion of the bearing housing and an aperture receiving a cylindrical portion of the hub as a means of providing a sealed protection for the bearings against debris, without having the seal in direct contact with the shaft. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create a conventional labyrinthine seal between the hub and the shield as a means of reducing leakage of liquid into the bearing housing without the use of separate sealing elements, thereby reducing cost and improving the life of the seal.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,275

Art Unit: 3746

6. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh in view of Carter and in further view of Obara (6,379,129). Hsieh in view of Carter show the limitations of claim 1, but fail to teach a coil spring interposed between the rotational shaft and the retainer cap and a slide member interposed between the coil spring and the rotational shaft.

Page 4

- 7. Obara teaches a coil spring (106) interposed between the rotational shaft (101) and the hub (108) and a slide member (105a) interposed between the coil spring and the rotational shaft. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hsieh and Carter to incorporate the coil spring and slide member as a means of advantageously pre-loading the ball bearings (col.1 lines 10-14). Although Obara discloses the coil spring interposed between the hub and the shaft, it will equally serve in preloading the bearing to interpose the spring between the shaft and the retainer cap.
- 8. Claims 6 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsieh in view of Carter and in further view of Schmider et al. (5,176,509). Hsieh in view of Carter show the limitations of claims 1 and 2, but fail to teach a retainer cap further with at least one engagement claw for snapping onto the base and a magnet of the rotor having a central part offset from a central part of a core of the stator, wherein the rotor is attracted in a direction away from the base.
- 9. Schmider et al. teach a retainer cap (3) with at least one engagement claw (41) for snapping onto the base (40) and a magnet (15) of the rotor having a central part offset from a central part of a core of the stator (10), wherein the rotor is attracted in a

Application/Control Number: 10/612,275

Art Unit: 3746

direction away from the base. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the engagement claw into the retainer cap as a means of secure fastening without additional fastening elements or parts. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to configure the magnet of the rotor and the stator in such a way as to bias the rotor away from the base, thereby reducing some of the stress on the bearings, extending their working life.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Chung (5,997,265) teaches a bearing structure for a radiating fan.
 - Harmsen et al. (5,267,842) teach an axial fan with bearing supports, a hub and bearing housing.
 - Konno (6,379,126) teaches a blower a bearing holder on which the stator is mounted.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan P. Gillan whose telephone number is 571-272-8381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm; Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,275 Page 6

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RPG

Timothy S. Thorpe Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700